Performance Outcomes Adult Specialty Mental Health Services Report Report Date September, 2016

Background

This report measures the effectiveness of adult specialty mental health services. It models reports developed to measure Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) mental health services as mandated by Welfare and Institutions Code Section 14707.5. The intent of these reports is to improve outcomes at the individual, program, and system levels and inform fiscal decision-making related to the purchase of services.

Since 2012 DHCS has worked with several groups of stakeholders to create a structure for reporting, to develop a Performance Measurement Paradigm, and to design indicators and measures. The Performance Outcomes System will be used to evaluate the domains of access, engagement, service appropriateness to need, service effectiveness, linkages, cost effectiveness and satisfaction. Further information on the Performance Measures System implementation is available on the DHCS website. Documents posted include the relevant legislation, plans submitted to the Legislature, and handouts for meetings with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee back to the first meeting in 2012. To obtain this information go to: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/default.aspx.

Overview

Three reports will be provided: statewide aggregate data; population-based county groups; and county-specific data. These aggregate reports provide adult information on the initial indicators that were developed for the Performance Outcomes System. DHCS plans to move to annual reporting of these data for the Performance Outcomes System.

The first series of charts and tables focus on the demographics of adults 21* and older who are receiving SMHS based on approved claims for Medi-Cal eligible beneficiaries. Specifically, this includes demographics tables of this population by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Utilization of services reports are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service in time increments. Two types of penetration information are provided; both penetration rate tables are also broken out by demographic characteristics. The snapshot table provides a point-in-time view of adults arriving, exiting, and continuing services over a two-year period. The time-to-step-down table provides a view over the past four years of the time to stepdown services following inpatient discharge.

Where possible, the reports provide trend information by displaying information for Fiscal Years (FY) 11/12, 12/13, 13/14, and 14/15.

Definitions

***Population** - Beneficiaries with approved services adjudicated through the Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II claiming system that were:

- Age 22 or older during the approved date of service on the claim; or
- Age 21 during the approved date of the service on the claim and a birth date on or after July 1st of the Fiscal Year.

Data Sources -

Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal II (SD/MC II) claims with dates of service in FY 11/12 through FY 14/15.
Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) data from the Management Information System/Decision Support System (MIS/DSS) FY 11/12 through 14/15.

Performance Outcomes Adult Specialty Mental Health Services Report Report Date September, 2016

Additional Information

The **Measures Catalog** is the companion document for these reports and provides the methodology and definitions for the measures. Each measure is defined and the numerator and denominator used to develop the metrics are provided with relevant notes and additional references. The Measures Catalog may be found at: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Documents/MedCCC/Library/POSMeasuresCatalog_Sept15Reporting_Final_1.11.15.pdf

Note on Privacy:

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 42 rules protect most individually identifiable health information in any form or medium; whether electronic, on paper, or oral. DHCS has strict rules in place to protect the identification of individuals in public reports. A "Public Aggregate Reporting – DHCS Business Reports" process has been established to maintain confidentiality of client Personal Information. The Performance Outcomes System complies with Federal and State privacy laws. Thus, the POS must appropriately and accurately de-identify data for public reporting. Due to privacy concerns, some cells in this report may have been suppressed to comply with state and federal rules. When necessary, these data are represented as follows: 1) Data that are missing is indicated as "-" 2) Data that have been suppressed due to privacy concerns is indicated as "^".

Report Highlights

*County-specific findings may be interpreted alongside the POS statewide and population-based report findings.

*The **penetration** rates reported here were calculated using a different methodology than that used by the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). The differences in methodology makes comparison between the POS penetration rates and the EQRO penetration rates not appropriate nor useful. The POS methodology for calculating penetration rates was selected because it is easier to compute, more straightforward to interpret, and is in use by other states and counties. For the POS, the penetration rate is calculated by taking the total number of adults who received a number of SMHS (1 or 5 for POS) in a FY and dividing that by the total number of Medi-Cal eligible adults for that FY. This methodology results in lower penetration rates as compared to the EQRO rates, but it does so across the board so that all counties and the state will be similarly impacted.


*The **snapshot** report provides a point-in-time look at adults' movement through the SMHS system. The report uses five general categories to classify if an adult is entering, exiting, continuing services, or a combination of these categories (e.g., arriving and exiting). As of now, this report only classifies adults and their service usage for FY 12/13 through FY14/15. Eventually the snapshot data will be used along with measures of service effectiveness to identify whether adults are improving as a result of receiving services from the time they first arrived in the system to when they exit the system. This methodology was adapted from the California Mental Health and Substance Use System Needs Assessment (2012). More information on the original methodology can be found here: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx

*The psychiatric emergency services/hospital data measured in the **time to step-down services** report relies solely on claims data from Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II. Currently, the number of days is capped at 365 days (to mitigate the impact of extreme statistical anomalies) when calculating the mean and max for time between discharge and step down service. This methodology will be updated in the next reporting cycle. Additionally, county specific and population-based reports are based on the county of the hospital from which the patient is discharged and receives step-down services.

Please contact cmhpos@dhcs.ca.gov for any questions regarding this report.

SFY	Unique Count Receiving SMHS*	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change	Unique Count of Medi-Cal Eligibles	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change
FY 11-12	351		2,950	
FY 12-13	325	-7.4%	2,886	-2.2%
FY 13-14	504	55.1%	4,030	39.6%
FY 14-15	543	7.7%	4,815	19.5%
Compound Annual Growth Rate SFY**		15.7%		17.7%

Lassen County



*SMHS = Specialty Mental Health Services. See Measures Catalog for more detailed information.

**SFY = State Fiscal Year which is July 1 through June 30.

The Measures Catalog may be found at: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Documents/MedCCC/Library/POSMeasuresCatalog_Sept15Reporting_Final_1.11.15.pdf

Lassen County


11 ^ 16 17 ^	3.1% ^ 3.2% 3.1% Fiscal Ye ^{3%} ^ 10% 69	^	Ala Ala Ind Asi Bla	iskan Native or A lian an or Pacific Isla		22 24 28 34	6.3% 7.4% 5.6% 6.3%		79.2% 79.7% 81.7% 79.6% cal Year 12 0% ^ ^ 7%	^ ^ ^ -13 Race Dis		34 27 37 46 ive or American cific Islander	9.79 8.39 7.39 8.59
16 17	3.2% 3.1% Fiscal Ye	^ 	Ala Ala Ind Asi Bla	A 12 ution Iskan Native or A lian Ian or Pacific Isla Ick Ispanic	A 2.2%	28	5.6%	412 432 Fis	81.7% 79.6% cal Year 12 0% ^	^ ^	A stribution Alaskan Nat Indian Asian or Pac	37 46 ive or American	7.39
17	3.1% Fiscal Ye	^ ear 11-12 R	Ala Ala Ind Asi Bla His	12 ution Iskan Native or A lian an or Pacific Isla Ick Ispanic	2.2%			432 Fis	79.6% cal Year 12 0% ^ ^	٨	A stribution Alaskan Nat Indian Asian or Pac	46	
I	Fiscal Ye	ear 11-12 R	Race Distrib Ala Ind Asi Bla His	ution Iskan Native or A lian Ian or Pacific Isla Ick Ispanic	American	34	6.3%	Fis	cal Year 12 0% ^		stribution Alaskan Nat Indian Asian or Pac	ive or American	8.5
^	3% ^ ,	^	Ala Ind Asi Bla His	iskan Native or A lian an or Pacific Isla ick ipanic				٨	0% ^	-13 Race Dis	Alaskan Nat Indian Asian or Pac		
۸	100/		Ind Asi Bla His	lian an or Pacific Isla Ick Ipanic				۸	٨		Indian Asian or Pac		
			His	spanic							Black		
											Hispanic		
											White		
	79%		Oth	her known			80%				Other Unknown		
۸	3% ^ ′	n	Ala Ind	iskan Native or A lian	American	ED DU	JE TO		3% ^ 2%	L SIZES	Alaskan Nat Indian		
									0,0		Black		
are estimated	d to be 95% coi	mplete as of Jai									White		
	82%							80%			Other		
are e		∧ 3% ^ ′ 7% 6' estimated to be 95% co.	3% ^{^ ^} 7% 6% estimated to be 95% complete as of Ja	3% ^ ^ Ala 7% Ala 7% 6% Asi estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015. Wh 0th 82%	Alaskan Native or A Indian 7% 6% Black estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015. White Other	3% ^ ^ Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander 7% 6% Black estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015. Hispanic White 0ther	3% ^ ^ Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander 7% 6% 8lack estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015. White 82%	Alaskan Native or American ndian Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander Black Black White 0ther	3% ^ ^ Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander 9% 5% Black Black estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015. White White 82% Other 80%	3% ^ ^ Alaskan Native or American ndian Asian or Pacific Islander 3% ^ 2% ^ 7% 6% 9% 8lack Black estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015. White 0ther 82%	3% ^ ^ Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander 3% ^ 2% 7% 6% 9% 6% Black Black 6% estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015. Hispanic White 0 6% 82% 0 80%	3% ^ ^ A Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander 9% 6% Alaskan Nation Pacific Islander 9% 6% Black B	3% ^ 1 Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander 3% ^ 2% Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander 7% 6% Black Black Black Black Black Black White White Other Box

Lassen County

Fiscal Year	Adults 21-44 Count	Adults 21-44 %	Adults 45-64 Count	Adults 45-64 %	Adults 65+ Count	Adults 65+ %	
FY 11-12	۸	۸	136	38.7%	۸	۸	
FY 12-13	٨	۸	126	38.8%	۸	٨	
FY 13-14	306	60.7%	182	36.1%	16	3.2%	
FY 14-15	324	59.7%	202	37.2%	17	3.1%	
F	iscal Year 11-12	2 Age Group Dis	stribution	Fisca	l Year 12-13 A	ge Group Distr	ibution
	۸				۸		
	38.7%		Adults 21-4	4	8%		Adults 21-44
			Adults 45-64		070		Adults 45-64
		٨	Adults 65+			^	Adults 65+
CH	IARTS N	OT PRO	DUCED	DUE TC) SMAL I Year 14-15 Ag	L CELL S ge Group Distr	SIZES.
	3.2%				3.1%		
	36.1%		Adults 21-44	4 37.	2%		Adults 21-44
*FY 13-14 cl	aims are estimated to	o be 95% complete as	of JanuaryAduto145-6				Adults 45-64
		60.7%	Adults 65+			59.7%	Adults 65+

Lassen County

Fiscal Year	Female Count	Female %	Male Count	Male %
FY 11-12	236	67.2%	115	32.8%
FY 12-13	220	67.7%	105	32.3%
FY 13-14	320	63.5%	184	36.5%
FY 14-15	315	58.0%	228	42.0%



Penetration Rates* Report: Adults With At Least One SMHS Visit**

Lassen County


		FY 11-12			FY 12-13			FY 13-14			FY 14-15	
	Adults with or more SMH Visits		Penetration Rate	Adults with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate
All	35	1 2,950	11.9%	325	2,886	11.3%	504	4,030	12.5%	543	4,815	11.3%
Adults 21-44	۸	1,497	^	^	1,459	^	306	2,075	14.7%	324	2,586	12.5%
Adults 45-64	1	36 959	14.2%	126	930	13.5%	182	1,437	12.7%	202	1,703	11.9%
Adults 65+	^	494	۸	^	497	^	16	518	3.1%	17	526	3.2%
Alaskan Native or American Indian		11 141	7.8%	^	^	۸	16	193	8.3%	17	208	8.2%
Asian or Pacific Islander	^	55		C	51	0.0%		71	۸	^	102	٨
Black	^	47	۸	^	47	۸	۸	58	٨	12	80	15.0%
Hispanic		22 187		24		12.4%		273	10.3%			9.2%
White	2					11.7%		3,084	13.4%			12.0%
Other	^	15		^	۸	^	^	14	٨	^	17	۸
Unknown		34 239	14.2%	27	243	11.1%	37	337	11.0%	46	432	10.6%
Female	2	36 1,736	13.6%	220	1,694	13.0%	320	2,259	14.2%	315	2,609	12.1%
Male	1	15 1,214	9.5%	105	1,192	8.8%	184	1,771	10.4%	228	2,206	10.3%
18%					t Least One SMHS							
16%		14.7%		14.2%	13.5%							
14%			12.5%		13.5%	12.7%	11.0%					
12%			_				11.9%					
10%												
8%												
6%				_								
4%			-								3.1%	3.2%
2%			_									
Λ.	۸								^	^		
0% FY 11-12 (n= 1,497)		Y 13-14 = 2,075)	FY 14-15 (n= 2,586)	FY 11-12 (n= 959)	FY 12-13 (n= 930)	FY 13-14 (n= 1,437				FY 12-13 (n= 497)	FY 13-14 (n= 518)	FY 14-15 (n= 526)
	Adults 21-44		, ,,	,,		lts 45-64	, , , , ,		, I	Adults 65	. , ,	,
*Denetration Rate is defined as the nercen								• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1.0

*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. **Adults that have received at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration rates decreased because the number of Affordable Care Act SMHS eligible beneficiaries increased markedly beginning in SFY 2013-14 while claims increased more gradually.

Penetration Rates* Report: Adults With At Least One SMHS Visit**

Lassen County



*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. **Adults that have received at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration rates decreased because the number of Affordable Care Act SMHS eligible beneficiaries increased markedly beginning in SFY 2013-14 while claims increased more gradually.

Penetration Rates* Report: Adults with Five or More SMHS Visits** Lassen County


		FY 11-12			FY 12-13		FY 13-14				FY 14-15	
	Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate
All	232	2,950	7.9%	199	2,886	6.9%	321	4,030	8.0%	341	4,815	7.1%
Adults 21-44	٨	1,497	٨	^	1,459	۸	^	2,075	^	^	2,586	۸
Adults 45-64	102	959	10.6%	74		8.0%	119		8.3%	136		8.0%
Adults 65+	^	494	۸	^	497	^	^	518	^	^	526	^
Alaskan Native or American Indian	٨	141	٨	^	^	۸	^	193	^	11	208	5.3%
Asian or Pacific Islander	۸	55	٨	0	51	0.0%	^	71		^	102	۸
Black	۸	47	۸	^	47	۸	^	58	^	^	80	٨
Hispanic	19		10.2%	13		6.7%		273	6.6%	26		7.19
White	175		7.7%	157		7.1%		3,084	8.6%	265	3,608	7.3%
Other	^	15		^	^	^	0	14	0.0%	0	=-	0.0%
Unknown	25	239	10.5%	18	243	7.4%	24	337	7.1%	30	432	6.9%
Female	157	1,736	9.0%	137	1,694	8.1%	214	2,259	9.5%	217	2,609	8.3%
Male	75	1,214	6.2%	62	1,192	5.2%	107	1,771	6.0%	124	2,206	5.6%
18%			0.270	P	enetration Ra	tes by Age	iscal Year		0.070		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
18% 16% 14%				P Adults With Fi	enetration Ra	tes by Age	iscal Year					
18% 16% 14% 12%				P	enetration Ra	tes by Age	iscal Year					
18% 16% 14% 12% 10%				P Adults With Fi	enetration Ra	tes by Age						
18% 16% 14% 12% 10% 8%				P Adults With Fi	enetration Ra	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F						
18% 16% 14% 12% 10% 8% 6%				P Adults With Fi	enetration Ra	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F						
18% 16% 14% 12% 10% 8% 6% 4%				P Adults With Fi	enetration Ra	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F						
18% 16% 14% 12% 10% 8% 6% 4% 2%	Δ	Δ	Δ	P Adults With Fi	enetration Ra	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F			Δ	Δ	Δ	
18% 16% 14% 12% 10% 8% 6% 4% 2% 0% FY 11-12	^ FY 12-13			P Adults With Fi	enetration Ra	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F	8.0	%	۸ 11-12			

*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. **Adults that have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration rates decreased because the number of Affordable Care Act SMHS eligible beneficiaries increased markedly beginning in SFY 2013-14 while claims increased more gradually.

Penetration Rates* Report: Adults with Five or More SMHS Visits**

Lassen County



*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system.


**Adults that have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration rates decreased because the number of Affordable Care Act SMHS eligible beneficiaries increased markedly beginning in SFY 2013-14 while claims increased more gradually.

Utilization Report*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Adults Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year*

Lassen County


Fiscal Year		Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)				Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Full Day Treatment Intensive (Hours)	Full Day Rehabilitation (Hours)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Fee for Service Inpatient (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
FY 11-12	2,655	360	789	165	247	0	0	122	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY 12-13	2,662	357	747	178	331	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY 13-14	3,361	436	546	177	235	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY 14-15	2,553	267	466	125	262	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MEAN	\$ 2,808.02	355	637	162	269	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	0



*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of adults that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

Utilization Report*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Adults Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year* Lassen County



Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

Snapshot Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS Arriving, Exiting, and with Service Continuance by Fiscal Year

Lassen County

Category	Description (Please refer to the Measures Catalog for more detailed descriptions on all Performance Outcomes System measures.)
Arrivals	Adults that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months of their first date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Service Continuance	Adults receiving continuous services with no breaks in service greater than 90 days for a period of at least 2 years (>= 2 YR) or a period of 1 to 2 years (< 2 YR).
Exiting	Adults that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months after their last date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Arriving & Exiting	A distinct category in which adults met both the criteria for Arrivals and Exiting above for the fiscal year.
Service Continuance &	
Exiting	A distinct category in which adults had at least 2 years of Service Continuance going into the Fiscal Year and then Exited within the same Fiscal Year.

Service Fiscal Year	Arrivals Count	Arrivals %	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) Count	Continuance	Service Continuance (<2 YR) Count	Service Continuance (< 2 YR) %	Exiting Count	Exiting %	Arriving & Exiting Count	Arriving & Exiting %		Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) and Exiting %	Total Count	Total %
FY 12-13	75	23.1%	25	7.7%	22	6.8%	53	16.4%	136	42.0%	13	4.0%	324	100%
FY 13-14	138	27.4%	^	^	30	6.0%	62	12.3%	242	48.0%	^	^	504	94%
FY 14 - 15	74	13.7%	^	^	35	6.5%	134	24.9%	271	50.3%	٨	۸	539	95%

Fiscal Year 12-13 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution

Fiscal Year 13-14 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution

Fiscal Year 14-15 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution

	10/	Arrivals	٨		Arrivals	۸		Arrivals
	4% 23%	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) CHASRict Stin Are T		ED DUE	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR)	^{14%} CELL SIZES.	^ 7%	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) Service Continuance
42%	8%	(< 2 YR) Exiting	48%		(< 2 YR) Exiting	50%		(< 2 YR) Exiting
	7%	Arriving & Exiting		^ 6%	Arriving & Exiting		25%	Arriving & Exiting
	16%	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) & Exiting		12%	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) & Exiting			Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) & Exiting

Time to Step Down Report: Children and Youth Stepping Down in SMHS Services Post Inpatient Discharge Lassen County

Service FY FY 11-12	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge 0	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge 0.0%	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge 0.0%	Count of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down* 0.0%	Minimum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down 0	Maximum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Mean Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days) 0.0	Median Time Next Contact P Inpatient Discharge (Days)
Y 12-13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	C	0.09	6 0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	
Y 13-14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.09	6 0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	
Y 14-15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.09	6 0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	
10 9 8 7			BL		AN		HA	RT	Service in I	•		
	ROC FY 10-11			FY 12-13	FY 13-14		S _{0.0} FY 10-11	FY		FY 12-13		0. E 13-14
5)ປຸດ			FY 13-14	etween Inpa	FY 10-11	ge and Step				
)ປຸດ			FY 13-14	etween Inpa	FY 10-11	ge and Step				
5 00%)ປຸດ			FY 13-14	etween Inpa	FY 10-11	ge and Step				
5 P 00% 80%)ປຸດ			FY 13-14	etween Inpa	FY 10-11	ge and Step				
)ປຸດ			FY 13-14	etween Inpa	FY 10-11	ge and Step				
5 P 100% 80% 60%)ປຸດ			FY 13-14	etween Inpa	FY 10-11	ge and Step				

* **No Step Down** is defined as no Medi-Cal eligible service was claimed through Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal after a claimed inpatient service was billed with a discharge date. This category may include data currently unavailable to DHCS, such as beneficiaries that were moved to a community-based program or beneficiaries that were incarcerated.